



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/651,548	08/29/2000	Barry Atkins	RPS920000026US1	9903

42640 7590 12/27/2007
DILLON & YUDELL LLP
8911 NORTH CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY
SUITE 2110
AUSTIN, TX 78759

EXAMINER	
SHIN, KYUNG H	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2143	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/651,548		ATKINS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Kyung H. Shin		2143	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action was PROSECUTION REOPENED after BPAI decision on 4/5/07, and application was filed on 8-29-2000.
2. Claims **1 - 24** are pending. Claims **1, 9, 17** have been amended. Independent claims are **1, 9, 17**.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/9/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

3.1 Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, "said associated key comprises a key that is not publicly published". (see Remarks Page 8)

There is no disclosure for this claim limitation, (said associated key comprises a key that is not publicly published). See USC 112, 1st rejection for this claim limitation for claims **1, 9, 17**.

3.2 Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, "preventing validation of the association of the user with messages". (see Remarks Page 9)

The claim limitation discloses preventing validation of the association between the user and the messages. In addition, the claim limitation discloses revoking the associated key. Based on the specification the associated key may be revoked by erasing the key. The Cook prior art discloses the capability to revoke (erase) the

associated key as per claim limitation. Additional functions mentioned by Applicant do not remove the fact that the Cook prior art discloses the claimed limitation (the capability to revoke the associated key). The deletion of the association key prevents attempts to validate the association between the user and a key.

Applicant's invention defines revoke to be the deletion of an association key pair, which removes an association between a user and a user's key pair thereby removing a user's ability to utilize a particular user's key pair. (see Specification Page 15, Lines 27-33: " ... Associated key A may be revoked by simply erasing it from server system 104. Since associated key A is revoked and no longer exists in server system 104, the ECK 107 does not have an associated key to decrypt, and encrypted user key 1, in turn, cannot be decrypted since associated key A does not exist to decrypt user key 1 ") Cook (6,732,101) also discloses the capability to revoke an association key pair by deleting an association encryption key pair. [see Cook col. 6, lines 48-50: key pair deletion].

3.3 The examiner has considered the applicant's remarks concerning a system, for managing a user key used to sign a message for a data processing system having an encryption chip are disclosed. In order to encrypt and send messages to a recipient, the messages are encrypted with the user key. The user key, in turn, is encrypted with an associated key. The associated key is further encrypted using an encryption chip key stored on the encryption chip. The encrypted messages are communicated to a recipient to validate an association of the user with the encrypted messages. The

associated key is decrypted with the encryption chip key. The user key is decrypted with the associated key, and the messages are decrypted with the user key.

Thereafter, validation of the association of messages with the user is removed by revoking the associated key. The centralized server system with the encryption chip is coupled to and provides encryption services to a plurality of client systems. All data relating to the encrypted messages are erased from the server system after the encrypted messages are sent from the server system to the client system. Applicant's arguments have thus been fully analyzed and considered but they are not persuasive.

After an additional analysis of the applicant's invention, remarks, and a search of the available prior art, it was determined that the current set of prior art consisting of Doonan (6,807,277), Cook (6,732,101), and Marshall (4,888,800) which discloses applicant's invention including disclosures in Remarks dated October 9, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims **1, 9, 17** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed,

had possession of the claimed invention. There is no specific disclosure “, wherein said associated key comprises a key that is not publicly published” that said associated key comprises a key that is not publicly published. This claim limitation has been amended to claims 1, 9, 17.

Claim Rejection – 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. **Claims 1 - 4, 6 - 12, 14 - 20, 22 - 24** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Doonan et al.** (US Patent No. **6,807,277**) in view of **Cook** (US Patent No. **6,732,101**).

Regarding Claims 1, 9, 17, Doonan discloses a network messaging system. (see Doonan col. 1, lines 10-12: “ ... *present invention is directed to a secure electronic messaging system* ... ”) Doonan discloses a method, a system and program product for managing a user key used to sign a message for a data processing system, the method comprising:

- a) assigning a user key to a user and storing the user key in an encrypted data processing system utilized to encrypt messages; (see Doonan col. 2, lines 1-7:

- encryption key assigned by key server for message encryption)
- b) encrypting the messages with the user key; (see Doonan col. 2, lines 7-8:
message is encrypted)
 - c) storing an associated key in the encrypting data processing system and encrypting
the user key with the associated key to obtain an encrypted user key, wherein said
associated key comprises a key that is not publicly published; (see Doonan col. 5,
lines 63-67: generate an encrypted user key for transmission)
 - d) the encrypting data processing system communicating at least one encrypted
messages together with the encrypted user key to a recipient system in order to
permit validation of an association of the user with the encrypted messages by the
recipient system; (see Doonan col. 6, line 1: encrypted message and encrypted
key are transmitted to recipient)
 - f) computer usable media bearing the control program. (see Doonan col. 3, lines 9-
12; col. 9, lines 33-44: software exists on computer readable medium for program
execution)

Doonan discloses a check on the validation of a sender's credentials. (see
Doonan col. 5, lines 16-20: sender credentials are verified) Doonan does not
specifically disclose revoking the associated key at the encrypting data processing
system to prevent validation.

However, Cook discloses:

- e) preventing validation of the association of the user with messages by revoking the

associated key at the encrypting data processing system so that the encrypting data processing system is no longer able to decrypt the encrypted user key. (see Cook col. 6, lines 40-50: association key deleted (revoked: see spec. page 15 lines 27-28 "Associated key A may be **revoked by simply erasing it** from server system 104.") as per specification by software component at the user system software component residing (data encryption system))

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan to delete (revoke) an association key and prevent validation of the association of the user as taught by Cook. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Cook in order to enable a flexible and strengthened encryption system. (see Cook col. 2, lines 33-38: "*... Messages can be encrypted using any available encryption means at the sender and sent to a forwarding service. The forwarding service can forward the message to each recipient according to the recipient's decryption capability and preference. ...*")

Regarding Claims 2, 10, 18, Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 1, 9, 17, further comprising:

- a) decrypting the user key with the associated key; (see Doonan col. 6, lines 1-3: encrypted key is decrypted)
- b) decrypting the messages with the user key. (see Doonan col. 6, lines 1-3: encrypted message is decrypted)

Regarding Claims 3, 11, 19, Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 1, 9, 17, wherein: the encrypting data processing system further comprises a client system and a server system coupled for communication, the client system (see Doonan col. 3, lines 9-12: network connected client (sender) and server system) having a client memory device and the server system having an encryption chip and a server memory device:

- a) storing the user key further comprises storing the user key in the client memory device; (see Doonan col. 9, lines 44-47: memory area used for data and workspace storage)
- b) storing the associated key further comprises storing the associated key in the server memory device; (see Doonan col. 5, lines 4-5: key is stored at server system database)

Doonan discloses a check on the validation of a sender's credentials. (see Doonan col. 5, lines 16-20: sender credentials are verified) Doonan does not specifically disclose preventing validation of messages associated with the user by eliminating the associated key from the server memory device.

However, Cook discloses:

- c) preventing validation further comprises preventing validation of messages associated with the user by eliminating the associated key from the server memory device. (see Cook col. 6, lines 40-50: deletion (revocation) of association key at

system via software component on server system in order to prevent validation)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan to prevent validation of messages associated with the user by eliminating the associated key as taught by Cook. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Cook in order to enable a flexible and strengthened encryption system. (see Cook col. 2, lines 33-38)

Regarding Claims 4, 12, 20, Doonan does not disclose a server system to receive, encryption and forward message. However, Cook discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 3, 11, 19, wherein encrypting the messages further comprises:

- a) sending the messages to be encrypted from the client system to the server system; (see Cook col. 2, lines 19-23: send message from client to server for encryption)
- b) encrypting the messages using the encryption chip of the server system; (see Cook col. 2, lines 51-55: encrypt message)
- c) sending the encrypted messages from the server system to the client system. (see Cook col. 2, lines 51-55: deliver encrypted message to recipient (client) system)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan to send messages, encrypt messages, and retrieve encrypted messages as taught by Cook. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Cook in order to enable a flexible and strengthened encryption

system. (see Cook col. 2, lines 33-38)

Regarding Claims 6, 14, 22, Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 1, 9, 17, further comprising: encrypting the associated key by using an encryption chip key which is stored on an encryption chip of the encrypting data processing system. (see Doonan col. 2, lines 3-8: encryption key transferred to sender system)

Regarding Claims 7, 15, 23, Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 6, 14, 22, further comprising:
communicating an encrypted associated key to validate the association of the user with the encrypted messages. (see Doonan col. 5, lines 63-67:)

Regarding Claims 8, 16, 24, Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 7, 15, 23, further comprising: decrypting the associated key with the encryption chip key. (see Doonan col. 6, lines 1-3)

8. **Claims 5, 13, 21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Doonan-Cook** and further in view of **Marshall** (US Patent No. **4,888,800**).

Regarding Claims 5, 13, 21, Doonan-Cook does not disclose the ability to erase key information after processing of an encrypt message. However, Marshall discloses the

method, system and program product according to Claims 4, 12, 20, further comprising: erasing from the server system all data relating to the encrypted messages after the encrypted messages are sent from the server system to the client system. (see Marshall col. 2, lines 30-35: key information is erased from system)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan-Cook to erase all key related information after message processing maintaining only current information as taught by Marshall. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Marshall in order to enable a flexible and strengthened network key management system. (see Marshall col. 1, lines 50-58: "*... system has the advantage ... only to maintain the keys required for whatever current communication sessions ... a pair of session keys ... every time a link or session is requested ...*")

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number:
09/651,548
Art Unit: 2143

Page 12

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kyung H. Shin whose telephone number is (571) 272-3920. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

K H S
Kyung Hye Shin
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2143

KHS
December 20, 2007

Application/Control Number:
09/651,548
Art Unit: 2143

Page 13

NATHAN FLYNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink is written over the printed name and title. The signature consists of a long, sweeping horizontal stroke that curves upwards and then loops back down, forming a large 'N' shape.